IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.PADMANABHAN NAIR

MONDAY, THE 4TH JUNE 2007 / 14TH JYAISHTA 1929

LA.App..No. 496 of 2007(D)

LAR.105/2000 of ADDL.SUB COURT,KOLLAM

.....

APPELLANT: RESPONDENT

STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY
DISTRICT COLLECTOR, KOLLAM.

BY ADDL.ADVOCATE GENERAL
GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.L.G.SURESH BABU.

RESPONDENTS: CLAIMANTS

---

1. SARASWATHY, CHIRAKKAROT VEEDU,
KUREEPUZHA.

2. MINI, CHIRAKKAROT VEEDU,
KUREEPUZHA.

3. MEENA, CHIRAKKAROT VEEDU,
KUREEPUZHA.

BY

THIS LAND ACQUISITION APPEAL HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 04/06/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

K.PADMANABHAN NAIR, J.

L.A.A.No. 496 of 2007

Dated this the 4th June, 2007.

J U D G M E N T

The State has filed this appeal challenging the judgment and award passed by the Addl. Sub Court, Kollam, in L.A.R.No.105 of 2000. An area of 1.25 ares comprised in Re.Sy.No.177/31 (old Sy.No.6362) of Thrikkadavoor Village owned by the claimants were acquired for public purpose. The claimant was awarded a total compensation of Rs.60,690.33. The land value was fixed at Rs.19,839/= per are. Dissatisfied with the land value, the claimants, respondents, demanded land value at the rate of Rs.30,000/- per are. The claimants have produced Exts.A1 and A2. The learned Sub Judge considered the relevancy of those documents along with other evidence, and found that lands under Exts.A1 and A2 are not comparable with the land acquired. After considering all aspects, the Sub Court awarded enhanced land value at the rate of Rs.60,690.33.

2. The amount awarded by the court below is a reasonable amount, and hence, I do not find any reason to interfere with that enhancement. There is no merit in this appeal, and the same is only to be dismissed.

In the result, the appeal is dismissed.

K.PADMANABHAN NAIR,
JUDGE

nj.